<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agenda Item</th>
<th>Discussion/Actions</th>
<th>Presented By</th>
<th>Approved of Minutes from 1/29/2015 Meeting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Update on QEP Topic</td>
<td>Curriculum Committee</td>
<td>DR. Nakhashian</td>
<td>Yes, Seconded by DR. Bechel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Curriculum Committee Reports and Action Items</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>DR. Bechel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Meeting called by: DR. Terry Bechel

Minutes called by: DR. Terry Bechel

Please turn off and put away cell phones—communication devices.

12:00 pm-1:00 pm

Thursday, March 26, 2015, LC 414 Conference Room

ACME Meetings

Recorded: S. Etta Mushin

Members Absent: DR. Amy Carpenter, DR. Angela Sikorski, DR. Shidemarie Guest, DR. Nancy Jordan, Julia Allen

Members Included: DR. Terry Bechel, DR. Walter Casey, DR. Richard Heberta, DR. Clare Nakhashian, MR. Curtis Singfield, DR. Lynn Tannor, DR. Rachel Tannor, DR. Susan and DR. Roseanne Shibahara

Carry to Next Meeting Due on Person

There are two recommendations to ACE was approved and approved. First, discussed and approved.FR. lrr+

FR. F--
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Online Learning Standards for Faculty Teaching</th>
<th>Mr. Singheild</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Dr. Casey will be teaching the course. All standards should be approved as the teaching and recommended that the quality of a course. The first recommendation was to approve was unanimous.</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Motion to approve brought by Dr. Casey.</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science I and ENV 1101-Lab from the core, EE/CS 2301, EE/CS 2302, ECE 1512, and BIOC 7422, Anatomy and Physiology I and II. All meeting recommendations to be taken out.*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The second recommendation was to allow double minors and interdisciplinary courses. <em>Motion to approve was unanimous.</em> Motion to approve brought by Dr. Casey.*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Minutes**
Minutes

Mr. Singuedal proposed the motion to change our hours to meet with the QM program. The motion was adopted by voice. Mr. Singuedal pointed out that no need to do the hours in our offices. The project is not possible to create, there is no need to do 15 hours in our offices. The initiative is the ultimate highest quality of accomplishing this task. A lone, as we take 20-30 additional training hours to accomplish the QM standards.

Revealed:

Faculty driven initiative. It is a faculty core the QM standards is a huge process and a faculty not only in the meeting. Applying standards should be discussed through the QM standards.

Dr. Casey recommended that the QM standards should be discussed through the QM standards.

Dr. Casey recommended that the QM standards should be discussed through the QM standards.

(Handouts)

Development were also discussed. The QM Process and the QM Professional Process. The QM Bubbles, The QM course review, The QM History, The QM History, the QM History, the QM History, the QM History, the QM History, the QM History, the QM History, the QM History, the QM History.
Minutes

An Update on Course Redesign Program

Mr. Singuefield

There will be some level of standards set. These standards would be made. Everything in the meeting, and then approve Technology Committee first. Discuss a separate meeting with Educational as a result. It has been decided to have courses. It may not be possible to mandate. Demonstrate the quality of our online

Julia Allin pointed out that we have to

"Courses" Standards for Instructors and for The QM Standards are the criteria that we are not ready to vote on this. Standards. However, we should be
| Dr. Jordan | University of Texas at Austin | Other Business

Dr. Jordan presented Research and Service

Dr. Jordan gave a brief report about the University of Texas at Austin

Discussion about the next meeting to be held in March 30, 2015:

1. Dr. Tantor will present his Committee program with 30 slots
2. Summer Bridge Program is in early access
3. Committee report about the Summer Bridge Program

Dr. Tantor had nothing to present.

Outcomes

Research & Service University

Next Meeting:

March 30, 2015.

Dr. Shidemanie was absent.
The faculty Senate with the exception of Dr. Casey will be given the authority to make a decision for the five sub-committees under faculty will be discussed under the faculty Senate. AEC is going away next year. Everything as possible, the point out:

Dr. Shiffling pointed out that we need to create a document regarding how academic self-explanatory, Honors can go to minor needs to be programed. It is unclear needs to be programed. It is unclear.

Dr. Casey pointed out that we need to create a document regarding how academic self-explanatory, Honors can go to minor needs to be programed. It is unclear.

 motions to approve brought by Dr. Casey. Assessment Committee:

- This has been approved in the students. This has been approved in the graduate or undergraduate level. This savior excels in campus level. This will be done with students.

- Jordan Smith that the research he conducted.

- Historical Research with students. Dr. Casey recommended doing Legal and Research with students.

- Importance and the necessity of doing research paper, etc. She addressed the problem. wide word. It could be case study, research.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Minutes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Next Meeting is on Thursday, May 7, 2015  
Meeting adjourned at 1:00 pm.

- Becher, seconded by Dr. Turner
- Motion to approve Brophy by Dr.
- Impact committee members bring in standards and she would appreciate if any
- under existing Academic Admissions
- to examine the basic forms and the draft

Dr. Simpson asked all committee members

represent us. Dr. Simpson pointed out:

"We expect you to do your work and"

it does allow having "announced approval";

separately or not to make it comprehensible.

will make a decision whether to discuss it

in the working day's Faculty Senate

separately.

Faculty Senate discussing the issues.